

1475 / *Reverend*

A N
ACCOUNT
Of all the Considerable
PAMPHLETS

That have been published on either Side

In the present

CONTROVERSY,

BETWEEN

The Bishop of *BANGOR*,
and Others,

To the End of the Year MDCCXVIII.

With Occasional OBSERVATIONS on Them.

No. By Philanagnostes Criticus *Perme*

L O N D O N
Printed for JAMES KNAPP, the *stor* at the Crown
in St. Paul's Church Yard. 1719.

Price Six Pence.





Jan. 1, 1719.

S I R,



According to your Request, I here send you a short Account of the principal Pamphlets which have been wrote on each Side in the Dispute now on Foot concerning Church-Authority, (which from the chief Person concerned in it is called '*The Bangorian Controversy*';) till the End of the Year 1718.

It will be necessary to premise a brief Relation of the *Occasion* and *Rise* of this Dispute, and of such Pamphlets *Preliminary* to it, as are necessary to make the Collection you design Compleat.

After which I shall give you in order the Titles of the Pamphlets occasioned by Dr. *Snape's* interposing in the Controversy: Then of Mr. *Law's* Pamphlets, and the Answers to them; After that of the *Representation of the Committee*, and the Papers wrote thereupon: And lastly, of such other Pamphlets and Sermons as

A 2

are

are in any degree Material, and do not naturally fall under any of the other Heads.

The occasion of this Dispute was the seizing a considerable Number of Copies of

1. ' A Collection of Papers written by the
' late R. R. *George Hickes*, D. D. 1716,

In which the Church of *England* was charged with Heresy, Schism, Perjury and Treason.

These Papers were seized immediately after the ill Success of a Rebellion raised by the Joynt-Forces of Papists, Non-Jurors, and Church of *England* Men, who had sworn to the Government. Which Rebellion being not entirely quelled, but the Passions of the People still very much enflamed; the designed dispersing of those Papers could be with this only View, to inflame the People further, to rekindle the expiring Rebellion, and to overturn both our State and Church.

This soon produced Defences of the Church from all Quarters: I shall mention but a few of the most considerable.

Dr. Bennet was one of the first: His Pamphlet is entitled,

2. ' The Non-Juror's Separation from the
' Publick Assemblies of the Church of
' *England* examined, and proved to be
' Schismatical upon their own Principles.
' By *Tho. Bennet*, D. D.

This was thought by many Unsatisfactory: There were several Replies to it. I need mention but one, viz.

3. ' A

3. ' A Letter to Dr. Bennet, occasioned by
 ' his late Treatise concerning the Non-Ju-
 ' rors Separation, &c. By James Pierce,
 ' Nov. 13, 1716.

Next to Dr. Bennet's I place

4. ' A Vindication of the Realm and Church
 ' of *England* from the Charge of Perjury,
 ' Rebellion and Schism, unjustly laid up-
 ' on them by the Non-Jurors: And the
 ' Rebellion and Schism shewn to lie at
 ' their own Doors, 1716. *Wm Wake Bp of*
Carlisle

This is generally ascribed to a very great
Hand; but, tho' incomparably better than Dr.
 Bennet's, was not such as the best Friends of
 the Government and Protestant Religion could
 rest satisfied with.

The Imperfections of it were attempted to
 be shewn in

5. ' The Layman's Letter to the Bishop of
 ' Bangor, &c. 4to.

Dr. Kennet, the present Bishop of *Peterbo-*
rough on this Occasion wrote, not by way of a
 just Answer, but of Remarks on the Conduct
 of the Non-Jurors, two very valuable Letters,
viz.

6. ' A second Letter to the Bishop of *Car-*
 ' *lisle*, upon the Subject of Bishop Merks,
 ' Oct. 22, 1716: And

7. ' A third Letter to the Bishop of *Carlisle*;
 ' upon the Subject of Bishop Merks, where-
 ' in the Nomination — and Deprivation of
 ' *English* Prelates are shewed to have been
 ' originally constituted and governed by
 ' the

‘ the Sovereign Power of Kings and their
 ‘ Parliaments : Against the Pretensions
 ‘ of our *New Fanaticks*, &c. *Jan.* 25,
 ‘ 1716-17.

The first Letter was wrote on occasion of a
 New Volume for the Pretender, entitled, ‘ The
 ‘ Hereditary Right of the Crown of *England*
 ‘ asserted’, *Oct.* 28, 1713.

The first Piece that was wrote by way of just
 Answer, which gave Satisfaction to the Friends
 of the Government, was

8. ‘ An Answer to the Non-Juror’s Charge
 ‘ of Schism upon the Church of *England*,
 ‘ 1716.

Without any Name, but generally ascribed
 to Mr. *Sykes*, who about the same Time pub-
 lished

9. ‘ A Sermon preached at the Arch-Dea-
 ‘ con’s Visitation in *Cambridge*, on *Dec.* 13,
 ‘ 1716. stating the Difference between the
 ‘ Kingdom of Christ, and the Kingdoms
 ‘ of this World, on *John* xviii. 36. By
 ‘ *Arthur Ashley Sykes*, A. M.

These are all the Pamphlets I think neces-
 sary to premise, before I come to

10. ‘ A Preservative against the Principles
 ‘ and Practices of the Non-Jurors both in
 ‘ Church and State : Or, an Appeal to the
 ‘ Consciences and Common Sense of the
 ‘ Christian Laity. By *Benjamin*, Lord
 ‘ Bishop of *Bangor*.

An Argument made use of by the Bishop of
Bangor in this *Preservative*, to justify Depri-
 vations

vations by the Civil Magistrate, was charged with a manifest Fallacy by another Writer against the Non-Jurors, in

11. ' A Defence of our Constitution in Church
' and State, or an Answer to the late
' Charge of the Non-Jurors accusing us
' of Heresy and Schism, Perjury and Treason : With an *Appendix* of several Papers, &c. By *Nath. Marshall*, L. L. B.
' 1717.

Against which the Bishop's Argument was justified in

12. ' Some Remarks on Mr. *Marshall's* Defence of our Constitution in Church and State. With an *Appendix* containing a brief Enquiry into the meaning of those Words of the 20th Article—The Church hath Authority in Matters of Faith. By *Arthur Ashley Sykes*, A. M.

I come next to the Bishop of *Bangor's* Famous Sermon, which has given occasion to the Controversy called by his Name, viz.

13. ' The Nature of the Kingdom or Church of Christ. A Sermon preached before the King on *March 31, 1717*. By *Benjamin*, Lord Bishop of *Bangor*.

Upon the publishing this Sermon, it was immediately resolved to proceed both against the Preservative and That, in Convocation ; as soon as it should meet. But in the mean Time something was to be done by way of Answer. This Task was undertaken by Dr. *Snape*, who in a short Time published

- I. ' A Letter to the Bishop of *Bangor*, occasioned by his Lordship's Sermon preached before the King. By *Andrew Snape*, D. D.

The Town immediately swarmed with a great Number of Anonymous Pamphlets in answer to this. In a Pamphlet, entitled,

' The Rehearsal, or a Recapitulation of all or most of the Arguments for and against the Bishop of *Bangor*'s Sermon'.

There are seven Answers to it reckoned up, viz.

1. ' The Layman's second Letter to the Bishop of *Bangor*.
2. ' A Vindication of the Honour and Prerogative of Christ's Church.
3. ' An Answer to a Letter to the Bishop of *Bangor*: Written by one *Andrew Snape*, D. D.
4. ' A Letter to Dr. *Andrew Snape*.
5. ' A Letter to Dr. *Snape*: By a Layman of Honour and Conscience.
6. ' A Rod for the *Eaton* Schoolmaster's Back.
7. ' A Letter to the Scholars of *Eton*.

After some Time was published

- II. ' An Answer to the Reverend Dr. *Snape*'s Letter to the Bishop of *Bangor*. By *Benjamin*, Lord Bishop of *Bangor*.

Mr.

Mr. *Law*'s Letter in Answer to this I now pass over, because I shall give an Account of his Share in the Controversy by it self by and by.

This Answer of the Bishop's occasioned two scurrilous Pamphlets against Him, besides the Dr's second Letter, *viz.*

III. 1. 'Remarks upon the Bishop of *Bangor*'s Treatment of the Clergy and Convocation. By a Gentleman.'

This is generally agreed to be Dr. *Sherlock*'s. It was animadverted on in two Pamphlets of Mr. *Pratt*, and Mr. *Sykes*, which will be mentioned hereafter. (N^o. IX, and under the Head of the Convocation, N^o. XXIV.)

IV. 2. 'The Real Nature of the Church or Kingdom of Christ. A Sermon preached May 19, 1717. In Answer to the Bishop of *Bangor*'s Sermon on the same Text. With a Postscript in Answer to His Lordship's Letter to Dr. *Snape*. By *Jos. Trapp*, M. A.'

This Last was answered in

V. 'A Letter to the Reverend Mr. *Trapp*, occasioned by his Sermon. By *Gilbert Burnet*, M. A.'

Dr. *Snape* himself replied in

VI. 'A second Letter to the Lord Bishop of *Bangor*, in Vindication of the former. By *Andrew Snape*, D. D. Jun. 28. 1717.'

The several Answers to this, were

VII. ' Remarks on the Reverend Dr. *Snape's*
' second Letter to the Bishop of *Bangor* :
' With some Reflections on Dr. *Sherlock's*
' Answer to a Letter, &c. *Wm. Newton 1717*

VIII. ' Farther Remarks on the Reverend
' Dr. *Snape's* second Letter to the Bishop
' of *Bangor* : Shewing, That his Lord-
' ship's Sermon hath advanced no *New*
' Doctrine, particularly in relation to the
' proper Sanctions of *Christ's* Laws; but
' that the same has been before taught by
' Mr. *Mede*, Mr. *Hales*, D. *Barrow*, Arch-
' bishop *Tillotson*, Bishop *Blackhall*, Dr.
' *Sydal*, Dr. *Sherlock*, &c. with an *Appen-*
' *dix* in Answer to Mr. *Law's* * Letter.
' By the Author of the former Re-
' marks.' *Wm. Newton 1717.*

I am informed that the ingenious Author of these Remarks was then a Tradesman, and has since taken Orders.

IX. ' An Answer to Dr. *Snape's* second Let-
' ter : With occasional Observations on
' three other the most celebrated Treatises
' against his Lordship, viz. Dr. *Sherlock's*,
' Mr. *Law's*, † and the Remarks. By
' *Daniel Prat*, M. A.'

X. ' An Answer to Dr. *Snape's* second Letter
' to the Bishop of *Bangor*. By *Daniel*
' *Whitby*, D. D. 1717.'

* See infra under the Head of the Convocation:

† See infra under Mr. *Law*.

Dr. *Whitby* has also published since this Letter

XI. ' A Defence of the Propositions contained in the Bishop of *Bangor's* Sermon from pag. 11. to pag. 17. And also of what is said in his Preservative concerning *Real Sincerity*, and our *Title to the Favour of God*. By *Daniel Whitby*, ' D. D.'

Besides these, see Mr. *Sykes's* second and third Letters to Dr. *Sherlock*, N^o. IV. and VI. under the Head of the *Convocation*.

Dr. *Snape*, pag. 40. of his *Second Letter*, having charged the Bishop of *Bangor* with calling God to Witness to a solemn Falshood, and engaging that a Person of unquestionable Veracity, of as high and sacred a Station as his Lordship, would be answerable for the Proof of it; this laid the Bishop of *Bangor* under a Necessity of declaring his Innocence, and vindicating himself from an Accusation of so high a Nature, in the most publick Manner he could. And therefore the very Day of the Publication of Dr. *Snape's* second Letter, viz. *June 28*, 1717. His Lordship in the *Daily Courant*, called upon the Dr. either to make publick Reparation for so uncommon an Injury, or to produce immediately his Evidence. Dr. *Snape* in the *Post-Boy* of *June 29*, named the Bishop of *Carlisle*. And in the *Evening-Posts* of *June 29*, the Bishop of *Bangor* called upon the Bishop of *Carlisle* for the Proof of that Load of Infamy, with which He had furnished his Ad-

versary. The Bishop of *Carlisle* at length named Dr. *Kennet* for his Evidence: Dr. *Kennet* in *The Courant* denied in the most serious Manner what the Bishop of *Carlisle* affirmed Him to have said. At last, after this Matter had been for some Time transacted in the *Daily Papers*, was published

XII. 'A Collection of Papers scattered lately about the Town in the *Daily Courant*, &c. with some Remarks upon them. In a Letter from the Bishop of *Carlisle* to the Bishop of *Bangor*, July 5, 1717.'

Dr. *Kennet*, besides his Letters in the *Daily Papers*, published a Vindication of Himself in *Dr. Snape instructed*, &c. which will be mentioned by and by, (N^o. XIX.) The Bishop of *Carlisle*'s Paper was remarked on in

XIII. 'The Layman's Thoughts on the Treatment of the Bishop of *Bangor*, in a Letter from the Bishop of *Carlisle*, &c.'

About the same Time was published a Pamphlet, entitled,

XIV. 'All the Advertisements and Letters by the Lord Bishop of *Bangor*, Dr. *Snape*, Lord Bishop of *Carlisle*, Dr. *Kennet*, &c. as they were inserted in the publick Prints. To which is added a compleat Catalogue of all the Sermons and Pamphlets for and against the Bishop of *Bangor*'s Sermon, in the Order they were published.'

There

There are a great Number of Pamphlets in this Catalogue, which I have taken no Notice of, because I design only an Account of those which are considerable: To send you a Catalogue of all that have been printed, the inconsiderable as well as the considerable, would be almost infinite; as may appear from their being in this Catalogue, which reaches no farther than to *July* 1717, seventy four Pamphlets reckoned up, near thirty of which are not taken Notice of in this Account.

Besides this gross Calumny, Dr. *Snape* had also inserted another Calumny in his second Letter, *pag.* 66 and 67, reflecting on his Lordship for learning his evasive and equivocal Way of Writing from a Jesuit He kept in his Family, as his intimate Companion and Confident; who, He supposed, helped him to a mental Reservation to justify a solemn Appeal to God in a Lie. This scandalous and malicious Calumny was exposed in

XV. ' An Answer to Dr. *Snape's* Accusation.

' By *Francis de la Pillioniere*, formerly a
' Jesuit, now living with the Bishop of
' *Bangor*: Giving an Account of his Behaviour among the Jesuits, his turning
' Protestant, leaving *France*, and Conduct
' since that Time; to which is added an
' Appendix of Letters from Jesuits, &c.
' With a Preface by the Lord Bishop of
' *Bangor.*' 1717.

To this Dr. *Snape* replied in

XVI. ' A

XVI. 'A Vindication of a Passage in Dr. *Snape's* second Letter to the Bishop of *Bangor*, relating to Mr. *Pillonniere*. By *Andrew Snape*, D. D. 1717.'

Soon after which was published, (as Mr. *Pillonniere* guesses) by Dr. *Snape's* Direction

XVII. 'Mr. *Pillonniere's* Preface, Notes and Additions to his *French* Translation of *Sir Richard Steel's* Epistle Dedicatory to *Pope Clement XI.* &c. Done into *English* with some short Remarks,'

which are very angry and virulent both against the Bishop of *Bangor*, and Mr. *Pillonniere*: Upon whom *New Injuries* being thus heaped (instead of Satisfaction made for the former ones) supported by the Evidence of Mr. *Mills*, School-Master of *Croydon*, with whom Mr. P. had some Time lived, He was forced to publish a second Vindication, entitled,

XVIII. 'A Reply to Dr. *Snape's* Vindication, &c. wherein a full Answer is also given to Mr. *Mills*, and all his other Evidences. By *F. de la Pillonniere*. To which is prefixed a Letter to Dr. *Snape*, by the Lord Bishop of *Bangor* 1718.'

Dr. *Snape's* Vindication, was also considered in

XIX. 'Dr. *Snape* instructed in some Matters, especially relating to Convocations and Converts from Popery. By a Member of the Convocation.' *White Kennet. D. D.*

This

This is the Pamphlet of Dr. *Kennet*, which I above referred to, as containing a Vindication of Himself against the Bishop of *Carlisle*.

Dr. *Snape* having called upon the *Dissenters*, pag. 50, of his Vindication to take his Part against Mr. *Pillonniere*, occasioned the publishing of

XX. 'The *Dissenter's* Reasons for not writing
' in the Behalf of Persecution: Designed for
' the Satisfaction of Dr. *Snape*, in a Letter
' to Him, by *James Pierce*.' And

XXI. 'A Sermon preaced at *Founder's-Hall*,
' Nov. 5, 1717. containing some brief Re-
' marks on some Passages in Dr. *Snape's*
' late Vindication. By *John Cumming*,
' M. A. Minister of the *Scot's-Church* in
' *London*.'

In Answer to Mr. *Pillonniere's* second Defence of Himself, was published

XXII. 'A full Answer to Mr: *Pillonniere's*
' Reply to Dr. *Snape*, and to the Bishop
' of *Bangor's* Preface, as far as it relates
' to Mr. *Mills*. In which the Evidences
' given to Dr. *Snape* are justified, the Bi-
' shop of *Bangor's* Objections answered,
' Mr. *Pillonniere's* pretended Facts dis-
' proved, and base Forgery is detected.
' As likewise the true Reasons of such
' malicious *Dissenters* Proceedings against
' Mr. *Mills*. The Whole supported by
' ample Testimonies of Gentlemen, Clergy,
' and many Others. In a Letter to the
' Lord Bishop of *Bangor*, by *H. Mills*,
M. A:

‘ M. A. To which is prefixed a Letter to
‘ his Lordship, by Dr. *Snape*.’

One Monsieur *Rouire*, a *French* Refugee, had furnished Dr. *Snape* with Scandal against Mr. *Pillonniere*. His having done so, He afterwards in the most solemn Manner denied to the Bishop of *Bangor*, and Mr. *Pillonniere*.

But now again soon after the Publication of Mr. *Mills*’s Book, he in Publick declares that solemn Denial to have been a solemn Lie, and re-asserts the Truth of the Scandal, for the Falshood of which He had before called God to witness. This occasioned another Dispute in the Daily Papers, which was of no Moment at all to the Controversy, but entirely Personal between Mr. *Pillonniere*, and Mr. *Rouire*. The Dispute, such as it was, was fairly stated, and fully decided, (so that I suppose an entire End is put to it) in

XXIII. ‘ A third Defence, containing, I. A
‘ Reply to Dr. *Snape*’s and Mr. *Mills*’s new
‘ Calumnies. II. A faithful Account of
‘ Mr. *Rouire*’s Conduct. III. A Postscript
‘ in Answer to Mr. *Dubourdiou*’s Calumnies.
‘ By *Francis de la Pillonniere*. To which
‘ are prefixed some few Remarks on Dr.
‘ *Snape*’s Letter before Mr. *Mills*’s Book.
‘ By the Bishop of *Bangor*.’

Thus ended this Dispute as far as Dr. *Snape* is concerned in it. What his Conduct in it has been is notorious, and the Sentiments of the World thereupon are well known. I shall mention only two more Pamphlets wrote against Him, viz.

XXIV. ‘ A

XXIV. 'A Letter to Dr. *Snape*, wherein
 'the Authority of the Christian Priest-
 'hood is maintained; the uninterrupted
 'Succession of Bishops from the Apostles
 'Days is lineally deduced, and the Cavils
 'of Hereticks and Fanaticks are answered.
 'By a Curate of *Wilts.*'

XXV. 'A Congratulatory Letter from the
 'Pope to Dr. *Snape*. By the Author of
 'Protestant Popery *.'

While Mr. *Pillonniere* was thus the Object of the Rage of Dr. *Snape*, and his Party, he was also attacked from another Quarter, his Countrymen the French Refugees. Mr. *J. Armand Dubourdieu*, (who had for some Time taken the opposite Side to Dr. *Snape*,) published a Book (in Answer to one wrote about a private Quarrel among themselves, by one Monsieur *Malard*, complaining of hard Usage) entitled, '*An Appeal to the English Nation*,' &c. in which Mr. *Pillonniere* is plentifully abused for being compassionate to a poor unfortunate Man, Mr. *Dubourdieu's* Adversary. To this Mr. *Pillonniere* replied in his third Defence; (see N^o. XXIII;) and was obliged to complain of one Mr. *Lions*, who had acted the Part of a false Friend by him, and was now become a false Accuser.

Mr. *Lions* with great Warmth replied to him in

* See infra under the Head of the Convocation, N^o. XLI.

XXVI. ' L'Ex-Jesuit Demasqué, ou Reponse
de Mr. *Lions*, a Monsieur *Pillonniere*.'

The Answer to which, see N°. XXXII.

Besides these, Mr. *Pillonniere* had a great
while before been attacked by the late Reve-
rend Monsieur *Graverol* in

XXVII. ' Defense de la Religion Reformée,
' de ses Pasteurs & de ses Synodes contre
' le Nouveau Traducteur de la Lettre de
' Mr. le Chev^r. *Steel*, au Pape *Clement XI*.
' Par. *J. G.* 1717.'

To which Mr. *Pillonniere* replied in

XXVIII. ' Defense des Principes de la Tole-
' rance, ou l'on examine le fait de *Servet*,
' l'autorité des Confessions de Foi, &c. Par
' Mr. de la *Pillonniere*.'

Mr. *Graverol* was also answered by Mr. *Du-
rette* in

XXIX. ' L'Abus des Confessions de Foi,
12°.'

Upon the Publication of this Piece, the Bi-
shop of *London* sent a Circular Letter (see N°. XXXIII.) to the *French* Ministers to forbid Mr. *Durette* their Pulpits : Which upon Mr. *Durette*'s subscribing a certain Paper to explain his Meaning, was recalled by a second. There was also a Reply made to Him, entitled,

XXX. ' L'Utilité & Necessité des Confessions
' de Foi. Par Mr. de la *Mothe*.'

This Answer, and the Bishop of *London*'s taking Notice of Mr. *Durette*'s Book, occasioned his publishing a Vindication, entitled,

XXXI. ' Appel

XXXI. ' Appel a Mess. les Francois Refugiez,
' dans lequel les Principes du petit Essay,
' intitulé, l'Abus des Confessions de Foi
' sont developpee & justifiez. Par l'Au-
' their'.

Mr. *Durette's* Behaviour while under the Bi-
shop of *London's* Censure, and some Misunder-
standing between Mr. *Pillonniere* and Him,
relating to his Defence of his Essay, occasioned
Mr. *Pillonniere* to publish

XXXII. ' A Translation of Mr. *Durette's*
' Treatise concerning the Abuse of Confes-
' sions of Faith : With an Account of Mr.
' *Durette's* Conduct before and since the
' Bishop of *London's* Censure of his Book :
' And some Observations on his Appeal to
' the Refugees compared with Mr. *de la*
' *Mothe's* Book. And a short Answer to
' the Reverend Mr. *Lion's* late Reply (see
' N^o. XXVI. By *Francis de la Pillon-*
' *niere*.'

The Behaviour of the Refugees to Mr. *Pil-*
lonniere, in this Controversy and others, who
differed from them, occasioned the publishing,
what is the last Pamphlet I shall mention under
this Head, viz.

XXXIII. ' An Account of the cruel Persecu-
' tions raised by the *French* Clergy since
' their taking Sanctuary here, against seve-
' ral worthy Ministers, &c. dissenting
' from their Calvinistical Schemes, shew-
' ing also the grossness of their daily Ca-
' lumnies against Mr. *de la Pillonniere* ;

‘ and containing a Detection of Fraud in
 ‘ the Distribution of the Royal Bounty.
 ‘ In answer to some private Letters of Mr.
 ‘ *de la Chapelle*. By *Claudius Rey*, a French
 ‘ Layman.’

In an *Appendix* to this Book, is the *Bishop of*
London’s Circular Letter against Mr. *Durette*,
 with some Remarks upon it (see above in N^o.
 XXIX.)

I come now to give an Account of Mr. *Law’s*
 Share in this Controversy. He began it with

- I. ‘ The Bishop of *Bangor’s* late Sermon,
 ‘ and his Letter to Dr. *Snape* in Defence
 ‘ of it, answered, and the dangerous Na-
 ‘ ture of some Doctrines in his Preserva-
 ‘ tive set forth. In a Letter to his Lord-
 ‘ ship. By *W. Law*, M. A.’

Besides the Answers to this, (abovementi-
 oned under the former Head, N^o. VIII and IX.)
 by the *Remarker* on Dr. *Snape’s* second Let-
 ter, and Mr. *Pratt*, there were three others,
viz.

- II. 1. ‘ An Answer to Mr. *Law’s* Letter to
 ‘ the Lord Bishop of *Bangor*: By *Gilbert*
 ‘ *Burnet*, M. A.’
- III. 2. ‘ The Grounds of Civil and Ecclesia-
 ‘ stical Government briefly considered:
 ‘ With a Defence of the Bishop of *Bangor*,
 ‘ against the Objections of Mr. *Law*: By
 ‘ *John Jackson*, Rector of *Rossington*.’

IV. 3. ‘ A

IV. 3. 'A Vindication of the Bishop of
'Bangor, wherein is considered the true
'Notion of Religious Sincerity, &c. In
'answer to the Exceptions of Mr. Law.
'By Tho. Pyle, M. A.'

Instead of any direct Reply to these Answers,
Mr. Law published

V. 'A second Letter to the Bishop of Ban-
'gor, wherein his Lordship's Notions of
'Benediction, Absolution, and Church-
'Communion are proved to be destructive
'of every Institution of the Christian Re-
'ligion. With a Postscript in Answer to
'the Objections that have been made a-
'gainst his former Letter. By Will. Law,
'M. A.'

To this there were also three several Answers,
viz.

VI. 1. 'A second Vindication of the Lord
'Bishop of Bangor, in Answer to Mr. Law's
'second Letter, wherein his Notions of
'Benediction, Absolution, and Church-
'Communion are proved to be destructive
'of the whole Christian Religion, and
'contrary to common Sense, &c. By Tho.
'Pyle, M. A.'

VII. 2. The false Notion of a Christian Priest-
'hood, and the Pretences to sacerdotal Ob-
'lation, Intercession, Benediction, and
'authoritative Absolution examined and
'confuted: Being an Answer to Mr. Law's
'second Letter to the Bishop of Bangor.
'By Phileleutherus Cantabrigiensis. Jan. 25.
'1717-18.' Thomas Hearne. When

When this Controversy was gone thus far, Dr. Sherlock in his '*Condition and Example of our Saviour vindicated*,' pag. 62. reproached the Bishop of Bangor for not having '*answered Mr. Law's two Letters; a Writer so considerable (says he) that I know but ONE good Reason why his Lordship DOES NOT answer Him.*' The Bishop in Answer offered to undertake that Task, if Dr. Sherlock would make Mr. Law's Tenets his own; but else thought it unnecessary, 'because there had been *Three* excellent Answers written to his first Letter, to the Particulars of which Mr. Law had not thought fit to make any Reply: And there had been *Two* published to his second Letter, and a *Third* a coming from some of the ablest Hands he knew of.' The *Third* shortly after published was,

VIII. 3. 'A full Examination of several important Points relating to Church-Authority, the Christian Priesthood, the Positive Institutions of the Christian Religion and Church-Communion. In Answer to the Notions and Principles contained in Mr. Law's second Letter to the Bishop of Bangor. In a second Letter to Mr. Law; with a Postscript, wherein his evasive Answers to former Objections are considered. By Gilbert Burnet, M. A.'

This excellent Piece has, I believe, put an End to this Part of the Controversy. Mr. Law has not thought fit to make any Answer; but instead

instead thereof is running away to another Matter. There has been for some Time advertised, 'A Reply to the Bishop of Bangor's Answer to the Representation,' by Him to be published by Subscription. And the following right zealous and orthodox Divines of the Church of England, Dr. Pelling, Dr. Fiddes, Dr. Astry, and Mr. Thorold, have charitably taken the Trouble of soliciting and receiving Subscriptions for this Great Nonjuring Defender of the Rights of the Clergy.

As Mr. Law has not himself taken any Notice of either of the six Answers made to his Letters; so neither has any Body for him, except one P. F. who has to very little Purpose published

IX. 'A Letter to the Reverend Mr. Pyle, occasioned by his Exceptions against Mr. Law's first Letter: With a Postscript, in Answer to Mr. Pyle's Challenge' [in his Answer to Mr. Law's second Letter.]
'By P. F. Minister of the Church of England.'

One of the Answerers of Mr. Law, who calls himself *Philegutherus Cantabrigiensis* has since published *Mo. Hearner obid 1770*

X. 'Three Discourses: One, a Defence of private Judgment; The second, against the Authority of the Magistrate over Conscience; The third, some Considerations concerning the uniting of Protestants. The Two first translated from the Latin, the third from the French of Dr.

‘ Dr. Samuel Werenfels, Professor of Divi-
 ‘ nity in the University of Bale, in Swit-
 ‘ zerland: With a Prefatory Epistle to the
 ‘ Reverend Dr. Tenison, giving an Account
 ‘ of the occasion of Translating them, and
 ‘ their Use in the Bishop of B—’s Con-
 ‘ troversy. By Phileleutherus Cantabrigi-
 ‘ ensis.’ Tho. Hearne 6th 1720

The Judgment of so judicious a Divine as
 Dr. Werenfels, in Discourses wrote by him not
 by way of Controversy, and therefore the less
 liable to be suspected of Prejudice, ought to be
 of great Weight in the Controversy: And his
 Reasons to be carefully attended to. I cannot
 but think the translating these Discourses was
 very proper and seasonable, and if they be ge-
 nerally read, may be of great Use. We have
 here the Judgment of an impartial Person, nei-
 ther concerned in the Controversy, nor any
 way attached personally to any of those con-
 cerned in it. I should be pleased with more
 Translations of this sort: One is expected short-
 ly, of an excellent Treatise of the Great Pa-
 fendorf,

‘ De Habitu Religionis Christianæ ad Vitam
 ‘ Civilem: Or, of the Relation between
 ‘ the Church and the State.’ *There is now a*
in the press translated by B. H. H. 1720
 ‘ An Essay on imposing and subscribing Arti-
 ‘ cles of Religion, with a Postscript re-
 ‘ lating to the French Clergy. In a Lette-
 ‘ to Phileleutherus Oxoniensis, by Phileleu-
 ‘ therus Cantabrigiensis.’ Tho. Hearne.

I come now to the fourth Head, under which I proposed to Rank the Pamphlets wrote in this Controversy, viz. such as were occasioned by

- I. ' A Report of the Committee of the Lower House of Convocation, &c. concerning several dangerous Positions and Doctrines contained in the Bishop of *Bangor's* Pre- servative, and his Sermon. Read in the Lower House, May 10, 1717.'

The Committee were

Dr. Moss; } Dean of { Ely;
Dr. Sherlock, } { Chichester,

Dr. Cannon, } Archdeacon of { Norfolk,
Mr. Sprat; } { Rochester,

Dr. Davies, } Proct. Chapter, { St. Asaph,
Mr. Barrell, } { Rochester,

Dr. Friend, } Proct. Clergy, { Oxon,
Dr. Bisse, } { Hereford,
Dr. Dawson, } { Sarum.

The first of them, whom this Report engaged in Controversy, was Dr. Sherlock: Which was occasioned by

- II. ' A Letter to the Reverend Dr. Sherlock, one of the Committee, &c. comparing the dangerous Positions and Doctrines

D

' con-

‘ contained in the Doctor’s Sermon preached
‘ ed Nov. 5, 1712. with those charged
‘ upon the Bishop of *Bangor* in the late
‘ Report.’

The plain Self-contradiction which this Pamphlet shewed the Doctor had been guilty of, made the World wait with Impatience to see how he would behave himself under the Charge, to which he replied in

III. ‘ An Answer to a Letter sent to Dr. *Sherlock*, relating to his Sermon preached
‘ before the Lord-Mayor, Nov. 5. 1712.
‘ To which are added some Observations
‘ upon the Account the Bishop of *Bangor*
‘ has given of his intended Answer to the
‘ Representation. By *Tho. Sherlock*, D. D.
‘ Dean of *Chichester*.’

This was replied to in

IV. ‘ A *second* Letter to Dr. *Sherlock*, being
‘ a Reply to his Answer, proving the Do-
‘ctrines maintained in his Sermon to be
‘ the same with those charged upon the
‘ Bishop of *Bangor*. With an *Appendix* re-
‘ lating to a Passage, or two in Dr. *Snape*’s
‘ second Letter. By *Arthur Ashley Sykes*,
‘ M. A. To which is added a Postscript
‘ to the Reverend Dr. *Sherlock*, Dean of
‘ *Chichester*. By *Benjamin*, Lord Bishop
‘ of *Bangor*.’

Dr. *Sherlock*, being thus got into the Dispute, and entangled, was forced to go on in

V. ‘ Some Considerations occasioned by a
‘ Postscript from the Right Reverend the
‘ Lord

‘ Lord Bishop of *Bangor* to the Dean of
‘ *Chichester*, offered to his Lordship. By
‘ *Tho. Sherlock*, D. D.’

Which was answered in

VI. ‘ A *Third* Letter to Dr. *Sherlock*, being
‘ an Answer to his Considerations : With
‘ an *Appendix* in Vindication of a Passage,
‘ in a former Letter, against Dr. *Snape*.
‘ By *Arthur Asbley Sykes*, M. A.’

The Two abovementioned Pamphlets of Dr. *Sherlock* drew upon him a very *Ingenious* Pen, who with an equal mixture of *Wit* and *good Sense*, exposed his manifold Contradictions, and ridiculed his Affectation of *Wit* in

VII. 1. ‘ Remarks on Dr. *Sherlock*’s Answer
‘ to Mr. *Sykes*.’ And *Jabez Hughes*

VIII. 2. ‘ Remarks on Dr. *Sherlock*’s Consi-
‘ derations offered to the Lord Bishop of
‘ *Bangor*.’ *Jabez Hughes*.

His Inconsistency was also well exposed in

IX. ‘ A Dialogue between Dr. *Sherlock*, Dean
‘ of *Chichester*, and Dr. *Sherlock*, Master
‘ of the Temple. Published from original
‘ Words. Being a Justification of Mr.
‘ *Sykes*’s Charge, and a full Reply to what
‘ the Dean of *Chichester* has offered to re-
‘ concile Himself unto Himself. By *Charles*
‘ *Norris*, M. A.’

The same Person has since published another Pamphlet, entitled,

X. ‘ The Reconciler of the *Bangorian* Con-
‘ troversy. By *Charles Norris*, M. A.’

We thus see Dr. *Sherlock* engaged in Defence of the *Report*, which he is supposed to have been chiefly concerned in drawing up. The next of the *Committee-Men*, who undertook it's Defence, is supposed to be Dr. *M—fs*, in a Pamphlet, entitled,

XI. 'The Report vindicated from Mis-reports : Being a Defence of my Lords the Bishops, as well as the Clergy of the Lower-House of Convocation, in a Letter from a Member of that House to the *Prolocutor*, concerning their late Consultations about the Bishop of *Bangor*'s Writings. With a Postscript containing some few Remarks upon the Letter to Dr. *Sherlock*, June 8, 1717.' *Robert Ingham*, D.D.

It begins thus, 'Mr. *Prolocutor*. Want of timely Notice must be my Excuse for Non-attendance on *May* the 10th, &c.' This was designed, 1. To prevent Suspicion of Dr. *M—*'s being the Author. 2. To insinuate that the *Prolocutor* had not taken Care to muster all his Forces against the Bishop of *Bangor*, to make the Blow as sudden and effectual as he could (which I fear he was too justly charged with,) but that there were some of his own Party who had not timely Notice.

I have now brought the Controversy down to

XII. 'An Answer to the Representation drawn up by the Committee of the Lower-House of Convocation, concerning several dangerous Positions and Doctrines

‘ Strines contained in the Bishop of *Bangor*’s Preservative and Sermon. By *Benjamin*, Lord Bishop of *Bangor*.’

The first Defence of the Convocation, published after this Answer was

XIII. ‘ The *Prolocutor*’s Answer to a Letter from a Member of the Lower House of Convocation, entitled, the Report vindicated from Misreports: *Geo. Stashope, D.D.*

To this were added in a Postscript, ‘ Some Thoughts on the Bishop of *Bangor*’s Sermon in five Queries,’ which occasioned

XIV. ‘ An Answer to the five important Queries contained in Mr. *Prolocutor*’s Postscript, with some fresh Queries humbly offered to the Reverend *Prolocutor* himself, relating to the Lord Bishop of *Bangor*, and the Committee. By a Divine of the Church of *England*.’

This Pamphlet of the *Prolocutor*’s was answered in

XV. ‘ Short Remarks on a Letter from the Reverend the *Prolocutor* to a Reverend Member of the late Committee. In a Letter to the *Prolocutor*, Feb. 18. 1717-18.’

I proceed now to the Replies of three of the Committee-Men, to the Bishop’s Answer to the Representation, *viz.*

XVI. I. ‘ A Vindication of the Proceedings of the Lower House of Convocation, with regard to the King’s Supremacy: And some Thoughts concerning Religion; with the occasion of their being made Publick.’

‘Publick. And a Postscript to the Lord
‘Bishop of *Bangor* ; by *Robert Cannon*,
‘D. D.’ (See N^o. XXXI.)

This occasioned

XVII. ‘An Exposition of the Thirty-fourth
‘Article of Religion, of the Traditions of
‘the Church ; referred to in the Report,
‘by a Clergyman of the Church of Eng-
‘land. *John Lewis, M. A. Vicar of Margate*

To which is prefixed a Dedication to Dr. *Cannon*,
Jan. 13. 1717-18. Next to Dr. *Cannon*
comes

XVIII. 2. ‘*Suspiria Sacra*: Or the Church
‘of *England*’s Memorial : With an Admo-
‘nition to Jesuits, and their Patrons, ex-
‘tracted out of the Statute Law. Dedi-
‘cated to his Grace the Lord Archbishop
‘of *Canterbury*, in the Absence of the
‘Convocation. By *Thomas Dawson, D.D.*’

The same worthy Writer has since published
another scurrilous Piece, entitled,

XIX. ‘An Introduction to the Bishop of
‘*Bangor*’s intended Collection of Autho-
‘rities : With a Letter from *Sion-Colledge*,
‘A. D. 1645. Recommended in a Prefa-
‘tory Epistle to the Consideration of his
‘Lordship, Mr. *Pillonniere* and Mr. *To-*
‘land. By a Member of the late Com-
‘mittee.’ *Thomas Dawson D.D.*

The Preface is very scandalous. The Book
contains ‘A Discourse concerning Conscience,
‘by *W. Birchley*, an Independent in 1652.’
And ‘A Letter from the Presbyterian Divines
‘of

‘of London, met at *Sion-Colledge*, to the Assembly of Divines at *Westminster* 1645, against *Toleration*.’ I would indeed (tho’ I know the Doctor will not agree with me) make this use of this Piece’s being Re-printed; *viz.* to advise the *Presbyterians* at present, in as formal and publick a Manner to *renounce* the Principles of *Intolerance* defended in it, as their Forefathers *maintained* them: And one Reason why I would advise them to this, is, because I know it would grieve the Doctor to Heart.

The Two last named Vindications of the Committee against the Bishop’s Answer to the Representation, by Dr. *Cannon* and Dr. *Dawson*, were very well answered in two Letters in the

XX. ‘Occasional Paper. Vol. II. N^o. XI.’

There are several other of these *Occasional Papers*, which I might have mentioned as *a propos* in this Controversy, such as ‘An Essay on Reputation;’ Another ‘On the Pride of Authors;’ a Third ‘On Retractions, &c.’ But these I designedly omitted, because I would recommend to You the reading of them *all*, which I am sure you will not repent. They make a necessary Part of a Collection of this Controversy.

Give me leave here to digress to take Notice, that whoever will take the Pains to compare pag. 33, 37. of Dr. *Dawson*’s Preface to his Introduction, &c. with the *Freeholder extraordinary*, N^o. XV. will easily see that *That Paper* is the Doctor’s. Which I mention here, because
in

in some of our *Daily Papers*, at the Time of publishing *that Freeholder*, was inserted an *Advertisement* to this Purpose; that 'Whereas
' the Bishop of Bangor had charged the Bishop
' of Carlisle with furnishing an Adversary with
' a Load of personal Infamy, to ruin his good
' Name hitherto untouched by the most zealous
' of all his Enemies; This was to advertise the
' World, that they might see the Bishop of
' Carlisle acquitted of that Charge in express
' Words by the Bishop of Bangor himself in
' the *Freeholder Extraordinary*, N^o. XV.' This
is done by quoting a Passage, out of one of the
Bishop of Bangor's Books wrote in 1706, in
which he complains of a Load of Infamy he
had experienced, and prays God to forgive
those who have been so free as even to call in
question his Honesty. Now, as I believe no
Man in England, but Dr. Dawson, could have
seen how this acquits the Bishop of Carlisle
nor have been at a Loss to see how a Man
may be abused a Thousand times, and yet, if
he is evidently Innocent, his good Name be
untouched and unsullied: So, (had I no other
Reason,) I should have guessed that he was
the mean Contriver of the low Artifice of this
Advertisement, because it is *so like his other*
Writings.

But I proceed to the third Reply to the Bishop's Answer to the Committee, *viz.*

XXI. 'A Vindication of the Corporation and

' Test Acts: In Answer to the Bishop of

' Bangor's Reasons for the Repeal of them

' T

‘ To which is added a second Part concern-
 ‘ ing the Religion of Oaths; By *Tho. Sher-*
 ‘ *lock, D. D.*’

A very artful and scandalous Calumny in the
 last Page of this Book, caused the Bishop of
Bangor immediately to publish

XXII. ‘ An Answer to a Calumny cast upon
 ‘ the Bishop of *Bangor* by the Reverend
 ‘ Dr. *Sherlock*, at the Conclusion of his
 ‘ new Book, entitled, *A Vindication of the*
 ‘ *Corporation and Test Acts.* By *Benja-*
 ‘ *min*, Lord Bishop of *Bangor.*’

To this the Dean answered in a Pamphlet,
 entitled,

XXIII. ‘ The Condition and Example of our
 ‘ Blessed Saviour vindicated, in Answer to
 ‘ the Bishop of *Bangor*’s Charge of Ca-
 ‘ lumny against the Dean of *Chichester*,
 ‘ By *Tho. Sherlock, D. D.*’

The Bishop replied in

XXIV. ‘ An Answer to a late Book written
 ‘ by Dr. *Sherlock*, entitled, *The Condition*
 ‘ *and Example of our Blessed Saviour vin-*
 ‘ *dicated, &c.* By *Benjamin*, Lord Bishop
 ‘ of *Bangor.*’

And about the same Time Mr. Sykes pub-
 lished

XXV. ‘ The Dean of *Chichester*’s Conduct
 ‘ considered in his *Remarks* [see under the
 ‘ Head of *Snape, N^o. III.]* his *Vindication*
 ‘ of the Corporation and Test Acts; And
 ‘ *The Condition and Example of our Blessed*
 ‘ Lord vindicated. By *A. A. Sykes, M. A.*’

In Answer to both these the Dean publish-
ed

XXVI. 'The Lord Bishop of Bangor's De-
'fence of his Assertion, viz. that the Ex-
'ample of our Lord is much more peculi-
'arly fit to be urged to Slaves than to Sub-
'jects, considered. By Thomas Sherlock,
'D. D.'

This was replied to by Mr. Sykes in

XXVII. 'A Fourth Letter to the Reverend
'Dr. Sherlock: Being an Answer to his
'late Book, entitled, "*The Lord Bishop of*
'"*Bangor's Defence of his Assertion consi-*
'"*dered.* By A. A. Sykes, M. A."

Which I supposed may have concluded this
Digression in the Controversy; With relation
to which, I cannot forbear adding the Quota-
tion from Mr. Hooker, inserted in Mr. Sykes's
Title Page.

'So easy is it for every Man living to Err,
'and so hard to wrest from any Man's
'Mouth the plain Acknowledgment of Er-
'ror, that what hath been once inconsider-
'ately defended, the same is commonly
'persisted in, as long as Wit by whetting
'it self is able to find out any shift, be it
'never so slight, whereby to escape out of
'the Hands of present Contradiction. So
'that it cometh herein to pass with Men
'unadvisedly fallen into Error, as it doth
'with those, whose State hath no Ground
'to uphold it, but only the Help which by
'A. Subtle Conveyance they draw out of ca-
'fual

‘fual Events arising from Day to Day,
‘till at length they be clean spent.’ B. 3.

§. 5.

But to return to the Controversy. Soon after Dr. *Sherlock's* Vindication of the Corporation and Test Acts, there was published a Pamphlet, entitled,

XXVIII. ‘Reasons against repealing the Occasional and Test Acts, and admitting the *Dissenters* to Places of Trust and Power, &c. to which is added an Answer to the most material Arguments brought by the *Dissenters*, and their Friends for their Admission into Offices.’

They are *Two Letters*; one wrote Feb. 10. 1716, against the 6th Chap. of the *State-Anatomy*; the second, Jan. 2. 1717-18, against the Bishop of *Bangor*; by a Gentleman in *Warwickshire*, very heartily in the Interest of the Government.

About the same Time also was published

XXIX. ‘The true Interest of the *Whigs*, with Relation to the Test Act, Jan. 1717-18.’

It is supposed to be Mr. *Pierce's*, who a little Time after published

XXX. ‘Some Reflections upon Dean *Sherlock's* Vindication of the Corporation and Test Acts. By *James Pierce*.

The next Pamphlet was

XXXI. ‘The Necessity of believing a future State, in order to the being truly Religious.’

‘ligious. In Answer to Part of Dr. *Canon*’s Thoughts concerning Religion :
 ‘And to so much of Dr. *Sherlock*’s Vindi-
 ‘cation of the Corporation and Test Acts,
 ‘as relates to this Subject. By the Author
 ‘of the Remarks on Dr. *Snape*’s second
 ‘Letter.’ *Wm. Newton*

Having now given an Account of the Com-
 mittee-Men’s Defence of *Themselves*, I come to
 a zealous Defender of Them *without Doors*,
 Mr. *Stebbing* ; who wrote the three following
 Pamphlets in their Defence.

XXXII. 1. ‘A Defence of the first Head of
 ‘the Charge of the Committee against the
 ‘Bishop of *Bangor*, being Remarks upon
 ‘some Positions of his Lordship, &c.
 ‘wherein is shewn that his Lordship hath
 ‘denied, and doth still deny all Autho-
 ‘rity to the Church. By *Henry Stebbing*,
 ‘M. A.’

XXXIII. 2. ‘Remarks upon a Position of
 ‘the Lord Bishop of *Bangor* concerning
 ‘Religious Sincerity, wherein the Conse-
 ‘quences of this Position are fully stated,
 ‘and his Lordship’s pretended Demonstra-
 ‘tion is shewn to be Inconclusive. By *H. S.*
 ‘M. A. To which is prefixed a Preface by
 ‘the Dean of *Chichester*.’

XXXIV. 3. ‘Miscellaneous Observations ;
 ‘or Remarks on some scattered Passages in
 ‘the Bishop of *B—*’s Answer to the Re-
 ‘presentation : Being the Conclusion of
 ‘the Defence of the first Head of the
 ‘Charge

‘ Charge of the Committee. By H. S.
‘ M. A.’

These Three were answered in

XXXV. ‘ An Answer to Mr. *Stebbing’s* Re-
‘ marks concerning *Religious Sincerity and*
‘ *Church Authority*. Being a further Vin-
‘ dication of the Lord Bishop of *Bangor*.
‘ With a Preface in Answer to that of the
‘ Reverend the Dean of *Chichester*. By
‘ *Tho. Pyle, M. A.*’ And

‘ An Answer to Mr. *Stebbing’s* Miscella-
‘ neous Observations, &c. By *Tho. Pyle,*
‘ *M. A.*’

Thus I have gone thro’ all the Pamphlets I
have met with any way Material in this Part
of the Controversy, depending on the Bishop’s
Answer to the Representation; and now I
come to

XXXVI. ‘ The common Rights of Subjects
‘ defended, and the Nature of the Sacra-
‘ mental Test considered: In Answer to
‘ the Dean of *Chichester’s* Vindication of
‘ the Corporation and Test Acts: By *Ben-*
‘ *jamin, Lord Bishop of Bangor.*’

Which I think I may fairly presume has put
an End to so much of the Controversy as is con-
cerned in it.

Having thus given an Account of what has
been wrote in Defence of the Committee, and
the several Replies thereto: I come now to give
an Account of what has been done in Convo-
cation in Favour of the Bishop of *Bangor*.

On

On the 14th of *February* 1717-18, being a Synodical Day, the Reverend Dr. *Edward Tenison*, Archdeacon of *Caermarthen*, protested in behalf of the King's Supremacy, and the Bishop's Protestant Doctrines, and soon after published

XXXVII. ' A Protestation made the 14th
' Day of *February* 1717-18, in behalf of
' the King's Supremacy, and the Protestant
' Doctrines asserted and maintained in the
' Lord Bishop of *Bangor*'s Sermon. Togeth-
' er with what was said in the Lower
' House of Convocation, with relation to
' the said Protestation, and other Matters
' that are fit to be considered; and what
' would have been further said, had not
' the *Prolocutor* interrupted Dr. *Tenison*,
' while He was speaking, by reading the
' Schedule of Prorogation.'

This produced

XXXVIII. ' A Letter from the *Prolocutor* to
' the Reverend Dr. *Tenison*, published *April* the 3d.'

Which was answered *April* the 19th, in

XXXIX. ' A Letter to the Reverend the
' *Prolocutor*, being an Answer to a Letter
' from the *Prolocutor* to Dr. *Tenison*. By
' a Gentleman of *Cambridge*. *Tho. Heagne*

The same Person afterwards published another Letter, entitled,

XL. ' A Letter to the Reverend Dr. *Tenison*,
' concerning some Citations made from his
' Grace the Archbishop of *Canterbury*'s
' Pre-

' Preliminary Discourse to the Apostolical
 ' Fathers, in a Paper lately published, en-
 ' titled, *A Letter to the Prolocutor*, &c.
 ' By the Author of that Letter, June 7,
 ' 1718.' *Tho. Hearne.*

I have thus gone thro' the Account of such Pamphlets, as the Convocation's attacking the Bishop of *Bangor* has occasioned. I hear Dr. *Tenison* has writ something more in Vindication of the Supremacy in a Tract, entitled, *A Letter to the Reverend the Prolocutor: Being an Answer to that Part of his Letter that relates to the King's Supremacy.*

XLI. ' Protestant Popery; or, the Convoca-
 ' tion, a Poem, in five Canto's.'

I proceed to the last Head, of certain Pamphlets and Sermons which are not reducible to any of the foregoing Heads, and yet such as I do not think fit to overlook in this Account.

1. ' The Necessity of a Divine Call or Mis-
 ' sion in those who take upon them to
 ' Preach the Gospel of Christ. Being a
 ' Sermon on *Rom. x. 15.* By the Author
 ' of the Clergyman's Companion in visiting
 ' the Sick.'
2. ' The Authority of Christian Princes, and
 ' of Bishops and Pastors in Ecclesiastical
 ' Matters not inconsistent with the true
 ' Nature of Christ's Kingdom, in a Ser-
 ' mon preached June 16, 1717, on *John*
 ' *xviii.*

‘ xviii. 36. occasioned by the Bishop of
‘ Bangor’s on the same Text. By Benjamin
‘ Loveling, M. A.’

3. ‘ The absolute Authority of the Church
‘ in Matters relating to Conscience, and
‘ Men’s Right of judging for themselves
‘ reconciled : After some Misunderstand
‘ ing occasioned by the Bishop of B—
‘ Preservative and Sermon : In Two Dis
‘ courses on *June* the 2d and 16th. By
‘ Alexander Innes, D. D.’

4. ‘ An Ordination Sermon at St. Paul’s
‘ *June* 16. By John Wright, M. A.’

5. ‘ God the Rewarder of them that dili
‘ gently seek him. A Sermon preached
‘ at St. James’s Church, on Nov. 5, 1717
‘ by Tho. Rundle, L. L. B.’

6. ‘ Mutual Charity the most perfect Bond
‘ of Christian Unity. A Sermon preached
‘ before the King, *Jan.* 5, 1717-18, by
‘ Tho. Hayley, D. D.’

7. ‘ The Nature of Christian Union, and
‘ the Method of restoring it. A Sermon
‘ preached before the King, *Jan.* 19
‘ 1717-18, by Sam. Bradford, D. D.’

[The two last Sermons occasioned a Pamphlet
reflecting on them, entitled,

8. ‘ A

8. 'A Letter to Dr. *Bradford*, occasioned by
'his Sermon preached before the King.'

9. Universal Charity, the Bond of Christian
'Unity. A Sermon preached at *Pinner's*-
'Hall, *March 9, 1717-18*, by *John Gale*,
'M. A. and D. P.'

10. 'A Sermon preached at *Worcester As-*
'sises, *April 6. 1718*, by *Abdias Taylor*.'

11. 'The Pillar and Ground of Truth, a
'Sermon preached at *St. James-Church*
'on *April 13. 1718*, by *Tho. Pyle*, M. A.'

Two Sermons on the 30th of *January* may
be referred to this Controversy, viz.

12. a. 'A Sermon preached before the Lords,
'by the Right Reverend *Edward*, Lord
'Bishop of *Coventry and Litchfield*.'

12. b. 'A Sermon preached before the Com-
'mons: By *John Hoare*, D. D.'

Two Consecration Sermons, which have been
since printed, may be also referred to the Con-
troversy, viz.

13. 'A Sermon preached at the Consecration
'of the Lord Bishop of *Carlisle*, on *Whit-*
'sunday 1718, by *N. Clagett*, M. A.'

14. ' A Sermon preached at the Consecration
' of the Lord Bishop of *Peterborough*, on
' *Nov. 9, 1718*, by *Edward Waddington*,
' D. D.'

And 15. ' A Sermon preached at *Exeter*,
' *Sept. 9. 1718*, at a Meeting of the uni-
' ted Ministers of *Devon* and *Cornwall*.
' By *M. Huddy*, which is a very remark-
' able Sermon.'

There were also *reprinted* on occasion of this
Controversy

16. ' The true Notion of Peace in the King-
' dom or Church of Christ: Stated by the
' late eminent Dr. *Whitchcot*, in a Sermon
' preached by him on *the Malignity* of
' *Popery*, examined and corrected from his
' own Notes, by *John Jeffery*, D. D.'

17. ' A Discourse concerning the Authority
' of Men in the Kingdom of Christ. Be-
' ing a Sermon preached in the Reign of
' King *Charles II.* By the late Reverend
' Dr. *Hezekiah Burton*, upon the Subject
' of *calling no Man Master upon Earth*
' First published by Archbishop *Tillotson*.'

18. ' The Church of *England*-Man's Reasons
' for his not making the Decisions of Ec-
' clesiastical Synods the Rule of his Faith:
' Ex-

' Extracted from two Books of the Right
' Reverend *H. Ferne*, Lord Bishop of *Che-*
' *ster* in 1662.'

Other Pamphlets are

19. ' A Letter from Truth to a Member of
' the *Rose Club*.'

20. ' Papists not excluded from the Throne
' on the account of Religion. Being a
' Vindication of the Bishop of *B—*'s Pre-
' servative in that Particular. In a short
' Dialogue.' *W^m Gleeewood Bp of Ely*
1717.

21. ' An Essay upon the Nature of a Church,
' and the Extent of Ecclesiastical Autho-
' rity. By a Layman of the Church of
' *England*. Printed at *York*.'

22. ' The Authority of the Church in Mat-
' ters of Religion.' *D^r Edward Synge*.

Said to be the Lord Archbishop of *Tuam*'s.

To these I must not forget to add

23. ' Several Enquiries concerning Justifica-
' tion, &c. by *Thomas Chubb*.'

Some of which, particularly That [the
VIIIth] concerning *the Unity of the Church*,
or Body of Christ, directly relate to this Con-
troversy,

troverſy, and are very well wrote. You know the Character of that very extraordinary Gentleman.

There is now going to the Preſs a Sermon preached and cenſured at *Oxford*, entitled,

24. 'The true Cauſes of the Contempt of
'Chriſtian Miniſters. A Sermon preached
'before the Univerſity of *Oxford*, at St.
'*Mary's* on *Nov.* 30. 1718. With a Pre-
'face in Vindication of it againſt the Cen-
'ſure paſſed upon it in the Univerſity. By
'*Peter Maurice*, M. A. Fellow of *Jeſus-*
'*College, Oxon.*'

This Sermon I hear was an ingenious one, but had not the good Fortune to pleaſe its Audience.

The Author was *ſilenced* for it, i. e. inhibited from preaching for the future within the Precincts of the Univerſity till he recanted it. The next *Sunday* after it was preached, I am informed he was reflected upon for it in the ſame Pulpit under the Name of *That Bold Blaſpheming Rabſhekah*, who lately made the Ears of this *Orthodox Audience* to tingle, &c. The Text is *Tit. ii. 15. Let no Man deſpiſe thee.*

Thus, Sir, you have ſuch an Account as I am able to give you of the *Bangorian Controverſy* to the End of the Year 1718. You did not

not expect that I should insert in my Account such Writers as *Marsden, Cockburn, Sharp, &c.* or that I should give you a compleat Catalogue of *all* the Pamphlets on either Side. 'Tis neither in my Power, nor would be of any Use to you to do this. But all that are of any Note on either Side, are inserted in the foregoing Account.

I need not tell you which Side has plainly had the Superiority in the Controversy. I believe you will be sorry to find that the main Argument has been so clogged and retarded by Disputes purely Personal. It must be owned to have been a great Misfortune. The Enemies of the Religious Liberty that is now contending for, have partly had their End in it: For tho' they have not been able to fix any Disgrace on the unspotted Character of the most excellent Bishop, whose Reputation they have so inhumanly attacked; yet they have for a Time impeded the Course of *Truth*, which they are afraid to see brought to Light; by diverting the great Maintainer of it to the necessary Defence of his own Good-Name, which after all the furious Attacks of impotent Malice and Revenge, stands still unshaken, and receives additional Security from the successless Attempts of ruining it.

May he long continue to be an *Honour* and a *Blessing* to our *Nation* and our *Church*, which he has already so well *defended* and *adorned*.
May

May he for the future meet with *less Opposition* in his *glorious Endeavours* to deliver our *Properties* and our *Consciences* from *Slavery*; and with *more grateful* and *generous Returns* from us than he yet has done.

I am,

Dear Sir,

Yours,

Philanagnotes Criticus.

E R R A T A.

Page 13. l. 7. their r. there. p. 15. l. last. A Mills, r. H. Mills.
p. 24. before 'An Essay on Imposing, &c.' insert, There is
'now also in the Press.'

An

An INDEX of the Names of those who have wrote in this Controversy: With References to find the Pamphlets wrote by each, in the foregoing Account.

Note, The Mark Pr. stands for the first Head of Pamphlets Preliminary to the Controversy. Sn. for the second Head occasioned by Dr. Snape's Writing. L. for the third occasioned by Mr. Law's Letters. Com. for the fourth occasioned by the Report of the Committee. And Misc. for the last Head of such as do not fall under any of the foregoing.

- B**ennet. Tho. D. D. Pr. 2. pag. 4.
 Bradford. Sam. Lord Bishop of Carlisle, Misc. 7. 40
 Burnet. Gilbert, M. A. Sn. 5. L. 2, 8. pag. 9. 20, 22.
 Burton. Dr. Hezekiah, repr. Misc. 17. pag. 42.
 Cannon. Robert, D. D. Com. 16. pag. 19. 20.
 Chandler. Edward, Lord Bishop of Coventry and Litchfield, Misc. 12. a. pag. 41.
 Chubb. Thomas, Misc. 23. pag. 43.
 Clagett. N. M. A. Misc. 13. pag. 41.
 Cumming. John, M. A. Sn. 21. pag. 15.
 Dawson. Tho. D. D. Com. 18, 19. pag. 30.
 De la Mothe, Sn. 30. pag. 18.
 Durette. Monsieur Francis. Sn. 29, 31, 32. pag. 1 & 19.
 Ferne. Henry, Lord Bishop of Chester, repr. Misc. 18. 42. 43.
 Gale. John, M. A. and D. P. Misc. 9. pag. 45.
 Graverol. Jean, Sn. 27. pag. 18.
 Hayley. Tho. D. D. Misc. 6. pag. 40.
 Hickes. George, D. D. Pr. 1. pag. 4.
 Hoadley. Benj. Lord Bishop of Bangor, Pr. 6, 7. Sn. 2, 15, 18, 23. Com. 4, 12, 22, 24, 36.
 ——— John, D. D. Misc. 12, 6. pag. 41.
 Huddy. Matthew, Misc. 15. pag. 42.
 Hughes. Taber. Com. 7, 8. pag. 27.
 Fleethood Will. by Hy pag. 43

Jack-

I N D E X.

- Jackson. John, *L. 3. pag. 20*
 Innes. Alexander, *D. D. Misc. 3. pag. 40.*
 Kennet. White, *Lgrd. Bishop of Peterborough, Pr.*
 6, 7. *Sn. 19. pag. 14.*
 Law. William, *M. A. L. 1, 5. pag. 20. 21.*
 Lions. *Monfieur, Sn. 26. pag. 18.*
 Loveling. Benjamin, *M. A. Misc. 2. pag. 39. 40.*
 Maurice. Pet. *M. A. Misc. 24. pag. 44.*
 Marshall. Nath. *L. L. B. Pr. 11. pag. 33*
 Mills. Henry, *M. A. Sn. 22. pag. 15. 16.*
 M---fs. Robert, *D. D. Com. 11. pag. 26.*
 Nicholson. William, *Lord Bishop of Carlisle, now*
 of Londonderry, Sn. 12. pag. 12.
 Norris. Charles, *M. A. Com. 9, 10. pag. 27.*
 Pierce. James, *Pr. 3. Sn. 20. Com. 29, 30. p. 5. 15. 35.*
 Pillonniere. Francis de la, *Sn. 13, 18, 23, 28 and 32.*
 Prat. Daniel, *M. A. Sn. 9. pag. 10.*
 Pyle. Tho. *M. A. L. 4, 5. Com. 35. Misc. 41.*
 Rey. Claudius, *Sn. 33. pag. 20.*
 Rundle. Tho. *L. L. B. Misc. 5. pag. 40. 42, 24, 36.*
 Sherlock. Tho. *D. D. Sn. 3. Com. 3, 5, 21, 23, 26, 33.*
 Snape. Andrew, *D. D. Sn. 1, 6, 16, 22. pag. 8. 9. 14.*
 Stanhope. George, *D. D. Com. 13, 38. pag. 29. 38.*
 Stebbing. Henry, *M. A. Com. 32, 33, 34. 36. 37.*
 Sykes, Arthur Ashley, *M. A. Pr. 8, 9, 12. Com. 2,*
 4, 6, 25, 27. 34.
 Synge. Edward, *Lord Archbishop of Tuam, Misc. 22.*
 Taylor. Abdias, *Misc. 10. p. 41.*
 Tenison. Edward. *D. D. Com. 37. pag. 38.*
 Trapp. Joseph, *M. A. Sn. 4. pag. 9.*
 Waddington. Edward, *D. D. Misc. 14. p. 42.*
 Werenfels. Sam. *D. D. of Bale, translated by Phile-*
 leutherus Cantabrigiensis, L. 10. see also L. 7. 11.
 Whitby. Daniel, *D. D. Sn. 10, 11. p. 10. 11.*
 Whitchcot. *repr. Misc. 16. p. 42.*
 Wright. John, *M. A. Misc. 4. page. 40.*

F I N I S.